

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office -Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•			
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/603,632	06/25/2003	Brian M. Curtis	2003P04688US;	4761
	24500 7	7590 05/26/2004	*	60,426-614	yes de la
	SIEMENIS CO	ORPORATION	DEPARTMENT	EXAMINER	
	INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY LAW		NOORI, MAX H	
	170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10000114, 143	76630		2855	*
			n	DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	l 🌞

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan.	10/603,632	CURTIS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	_Examiner	-Art-Unit	T				
		Max Noori	2855	Jan 13m				
Per	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address criod for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Stat								
2	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dist	Disposition of Claims							
1:			*					
,	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.								
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Арр	Application Papers							
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
1	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
:								
Attack	Attachment(s)							
1) 🛛	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summany (PTO 413)							
2)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🖂	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/03.	5) [Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)				
	t and Trademark Office 26 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	Date 20040519				

Application/Control Number: 10/603,632

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 20-28, 38-40, drawn to seat belt force measuring device, classified in class
 73, subclass 861.391.
 - II. Claims 29-37, drawn to an air bag deployment control, classified in class 280, subclass 735.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group and that of Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of Group has separate utility such as determining the weight of an occupant and not for deployment of an air bag. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Kerrie A. Laba on 5/19 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 20-28, and 38-40. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 29-37 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected

Application/Control Number: 10/603,632

Art Unit: 2855

Page 3

invention. The non-elected claim should be cancelled by applicant during the prosecution of this case.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Art Unit: 2855

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 20-28, and 38-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,595,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to a seat belt force measuring assembly with similar limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 20-21, 25-26 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blakesley

Regarding claim 1, Blakesley discloses a seat belt tension sensor with features of the claimed invention including a rigid member (element 14), having a first end for supporting a seat belt and the other end attached to the vehicle structure (indirectly), and a strain gauge mounted on said member, and electrical connector wires (col. 4, lines 65) mounted on the member for receiving measurements to any desirable device for further analysis.

Regarding claim 21, the member is metallic plate (col. 3, line 58).

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claims 25-26, the electrical connector has a main body portion (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 38-40, the recitation of the intended use in preamble presented as "for controlling ..." has not being given substantial patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 22-24, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakesley.

Regarding claim 22 Blakesley shows a specific portion for the placement of the strain gauges. Although he does not mention a neck section but, it would have been obvious for an ordinary skilled artisan to modify Blakesley's device to shape the member in any desired form in order to get the maximum strain effect.

Regarding claims 23-24, the ends of the member are elongated.

Regarding claims 27-28, the two end of the rigid member make a zero angle respect to each other.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2855

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (571) 272-2185. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The central fax number is (703) 827-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHN Friday, May 21, 2004

> MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER